## **SUR Departmental risks - DETAILED REPORT EXCLUDING COMPLETED ACTIONS for COMMITTEE**

**Report Author:** Faith Bowman **Generated on:** 13 June 2024



## Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
CR37 Maintenance and Renewal of Corporate Physical Operational Assets (excluding housing assets)	Cause: Significant on-going and previously unmet property maintenance costs across the City's Corporate property portfolio (excluding housing). Event: Misalignment between funding available and that required by the asset (as defined by the relevant Asset Management Strategy). Impact: Built estate becomes not fit for purpose / functions / occupancy. Cost of maintenance and utility costs increases, placing further pressure on City resources. In extreme circumstances there will be H&S implications, leading to potential enforcement action, legal action by tenants or asset failure in whole or part with detrimental effects leading to impact on occupiers.	Impact	The main driver of this risk is the adequacy of funding to manage and mitigate asset risks.  In March 2024 the Court of Common Council agreed £133m funding to be delivered over five years to support the Cyclical Works Programme (CWP). The delivery of these works will significantly support the alignment of the operational estate with the Corporate Property Asset Management Strategy. It should be highlighted that CWP funding is not attributable to ring-fenced property assets (Police estate, New Spitalfields, Billingsgate and the three private schools).  Earlier lack of funding did not impact the delivery of Health and Safety and statutory compliance items as these were prioritised within the funding available.  This risk is corporate wide and has been extended to sites where asset accountability sits with the relevant Premises Controller in occupation.  This risk includes the Barbican Centre and the Guildhall School of Music and Drama (GSMD) who are required to ensure an appropriate experience for audiences, performers, students and staff, to sustain their business models. Similarly, sites across the Environment Department, including the City's off-streetcar parks; the City of London Cemetery and Crematorium; and the Natural Environment Division's green spaces, are open to the public, therefore, adequate funding is critical to manage H&S and reputational impacts.	Impact 8	31-Mar- 2025	

04 Nov 2010		The City Surveyor has worked hard to ensure that accountability and responsibilities were understood across the organisation and is looking to develop solutions with the Premises Controllers in line with his role as the Head of Profession where gaps in expertise or capacity exist.  The target date for this risk (31 March 2025) is included as a 'review point' rather than a target date.  The CWP delivery programme is being further defined, and this will provide greater clarity over target timeframes.		Positives.	Constant
04-Nov-2019		12 Jun 2024		Reduce	Constant
Paul Wilkinson/SLT					

Action no	Action description		owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
CR37a	Cyclical Works Programme (CWP)  The Cyclical Works Programme (CWP) is the principal way that the backlog of asset maintenance is delivered to Corporate properties (excluding ringfenced assets). Funding for the CWP varies annually, and there is a clear link between the availability of funding and the capacity to reduce the risk.	Committee and Policy and Resources Committee in May. This outlined the strategy that will be adopted to deliver the significant programme of works. A number of actions have been planned/undertaken to mitigate the CWP backlog risks. Draft delivery timelines will be confirmed in November 2024 and will inform target risk scoring and delivery. Although the	Peter Collinson; Sonia Virdee; Paul Wilkinson	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar-2025
CR37b	maintenance of the built assets. Whilst the City Surveyor's	maintenance requirements and spend (forward maintenance).  This action also covers the delivery of the recommendations arising from the recent Internal Audit (IA). Whilst there has been progress in some areas, the actions have not been implemented comprehensively across the Corporation. CSD has highlighted this item to colleagues in IA and departments through the organisation's Chief Officer Risk Management Group.	Peter Collinson; Ben Milligan; Paul Wilkinson	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar-2025

	control over maintenance activity.  The City Surveyor's Department is seeking to ensure that communications are optimised such that there is a clear understanding of works to be done, and there is clarity over roles and responsibilities. This helps to reduce risk likelihood.				
CR37f	Annual Major Capital Bids  The annual capital bids programme and recommendations (October) are built into the subsequent year's organisational budget which is presented to Court.  The City Surveyor's Department presents bids in relation to works at the Guildhall, Walbrook Wharf and the Central Criminal Court. Support is also provided to occupying departments where they are required to collate their own bids. Capital bids are only considered where funding is not possible through other funding routes (such as CWP).	their bids.  The target date on this risk reflects the annual nature of bidding process.	Paul Wilkinson ; Peter Young	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar-2025
CR37g	Operational Property Review The City Corporation has commenced an Operational Property Review (OPR) programme to consider the future property requirements to deliver the organisation's services. This will align with the Resource Priority Refresh programme.  Where properties are identified as no longer required to deliver	These initial reviews identified baseline operational requirements, financial position, and state of repair which has enabled the consideration of detailed costed options.	Judith Finlay; Bob Roberts; Sonia Virdee; Peter Young	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar-2025

	City services, alternative uses (or disposal) can be progressed. This will both lower the maintenance backlog, and funds can be used for maintenance projects elsewhere in the estate. Whilst a positive move, the majority of outstanding maintenance is at our major buildings (Guildhall, Barbican etc).				
CR37h	Renewal Programmes  Where appropriate it may be more efficient to wrap up a number of maintenance requirement (both forward and backlog) into a major renewal programme. By their nature these will be far wider in scope and therefore substantial funding is required. Whilst these actions are more uncertain it is useful to track progress as, should they progress, they will make a significant impact on the overall risk.	in December 2022 with further public consultations throughout 2023 to support the initial business case development. In the interim, £25m has been identified to address immediate concerns, and further work up detailed analysis on requirements.	Claire Spencer; Jonathan Vaughan; Peter Young	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar-2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating	& Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 005 Construction and Service Contracts Price Inflation beyond that which was anticipated or planned  14-Oct-2021 Ola Obadara	Cause: Market conditions have led to input price inflation Event: Project and programme cost escalation Impact: Inability to delivery capital and revenue projects within budget	Impact		Material costs and labour availability are continuing to raise costs beyond that has been anticipated or planned.  This item impacts property projects, our facilities management (FM) provision, reactive repairs, and revenue works.  Whilst construction cost inflation had been forecast to level out, this has yet to happen. This is across both building materials and construction expertise where labour constraints continue to place upward pressure on pricing.  Market conditions remain dynamic and will be kept under review. As such the target date should be considered a review point.  13 Jun 2024	Lifehood	6	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note	Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 005a	options, thereby managing the risk to the department /	This exploration included a review of the prior Single Stage tender process (which had been preferred for medium range projects - £2m - £50m).  Following the review Two Stage contracts will be used more frequently which is the current market norm for these projects. This change enables contractors to better transfer their risk, however, leaves the City with a degree of cost uncertainty, even post Gateway 5. Whilst this transfer is not desired, it does offer far better market coverage and reflects the prevailing external conditions.	Obadara		31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 005d	Exploring the potential to	The department and the Chamberlain's Procurement team have explored the inclusion of fluctuating provisions in our contracts. This has resulted in attracting a greater number of contractors to bid on projects, however the inflation risk has been transferred to the organisation. The value of this approach will be continually reviewed.	Ola Obadara		31-Mar- 2025

	Contract Engagement  Expanding the amount of information available at an early stage of contract exploration.  This will provide greater cost certainty.	r	 13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
	1	, ,	 13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025

	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
Construction Consultancy	Cause: Poor performance by consultants Event: Abortive work, delays, or non- performance. Impact: Additional costs, project delays	Impact	This relates to abortive design / development.  The department continues to suffer the impacts of this risk, with action being taken against consultants when their performance does not meet expectations. Individuals assigned in the commercial market to City (and public sector generally) projects often do not have the skill and competency required to deliver the work to the standard required. This issue is being seen across the construction industry.  13 Jun 2024	Impact	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 006a	Commissioning stage  The department has commenced going to market at RIBA stage 3 rather than RIBA stage 4. This is designed to prevent abortive design and development.	Close work with the Procurement Team in Chamberlain's has resulted in this change. The impact will be tracked over the coming months.	Ola Obadara		31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 006b		Where performance has been poor action has been taken against consultants which has increased end-to-end timescales. Due to public sector contract constraints, our ability to seek immediate redress from construction consultancy contractors is limited whilst action is taken, results take longer than would otherwise be possible in a commercial environment. The department is working with colleagues through the Construction Category Board on this item			31-Mar- 2025

SUR SMT 006c	Procurement  Working with Procurement to increasing due diligence, particularly in regard to the quality of contractor appointed (rebalancing the quality/cost equation). This is with the view that we will get better quality applications and this risk may reduce.	The department continues to work with colleagues from the Procurement team to explore available options to manage and mitigate this risk. The City's public sector role limits the number of avenues compared to commercial operators.  The City Surveyor's Department participated at the March "Meet the Supplier – Construction and Professional Services" event organised by the Procurement team. This event was aimed at further diversification and strengthening of our supplier base. Subsequently further engagement with colleagues has taken place to consider lessons learnt and next steps.	Ola Obadara	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 006d		Detailed scoping is required to ensure that projects are delivered on-time and on-budget which can lead to extended lead times.  Due to a wider lack of skills in the industry, consultants allocated to this element of work are making more errors than desired which has contributed to the overall red risk rating. Further actions are being explored; however, this is an industry wide issue (particularly for public sector organisations).	Ola Obadara	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 006e	Review of appointment documents  The team is working closely with the legal department to ensure that procurement activity aligns with project objectives and the consultants meet quality requirements	Action has been taken against consultants where performance has been poor which has resulted in increased end to end timescales.	Ola Obadara	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating Score	&	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rat	ing & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 009 Recruitment and retention of property professional  21-Jan-2022 Paul Wilkinson	Cause: Uncompetitive pay and benefits structures within some professional grades; poor quality work environments; lack of professional progression over recruitment freeze and restructuring period; increased employee focus on work-life balance  Event: Increasingly difficult to recruit suitably skilled staff at the correct level for the grade being recruited for. Increasingly difficulty to keep staff who get better reward packages from other organisation (both commercial and public sector)  Impact: Increased vacancies, objectives unachieved or delivered late (including project delivery and income generation), reduced customer satisfaction, less real estate activity, reduced employee wellbeing, demotivation of staff. Increased costs borne by the organisation though recruitment campaigns and training etc, or to the department through filling vacancies through comparatively expensive temporary contracts.	Impact		This risk has been identified across several divisions of the City Surveyor's Department particularly within Investment Property, Surveying and Project Management.  This risk was reviewed in June 2024 and its risk score maintained due to specific issues in certain industries.  The department continues to engage with corporate colleagues to mitigate this risk over the long term.  The risk will be kept under review, with the target date reflecting this on-going review.  12 Jun 2024	Impact	8	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 009a	E	well received by staff.	Paul Wilkinson		31-Mar- 2025

SUR SMT 009b	Best Practice Including delivery of appraisals, regular one-to-ones, team and group meetings. This aims to improve communications at all levels, ensuring that CSD is a positive work environment and that issues/blockers can be raised and addressed. In some areas career graded roles have been instituted, and deployment can be further explored.	CSD is supporting Corporate HR with embedding the on-line appraisal system which enables greater tracking of compliance with the performance management process.	Paul Wilkinson	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
	Communication Including delivery of appraisals, regular one-to-ones, team and group meetings. This aims to improve communications at all levels, ensuring that CSD is a positive work environment and that issues/blockers can be raised and addressed. In some areas career graded roles have been instituted, and deployment can be further explored.	Managers across the department are in the process of ensuring that all end of year appraisals have been inputted into the system.	Paul Wilkinson	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 009d	Engagement with HR  Some of the items highlighted as the 'causes' of this risk are outside the control of CSD, and engagement with our Corporate partners will be critical to overcoming these items. This departmental risk directly supports the Corporate Risk on "Recruitment and Retention" (CR39).	Corporate HR have delivered a new staff survey, and the department will develop an action plan in September following analysis of the results.	Paul Wilkinson	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
	Equalities, Diversity and Inclusion The department has an active ED&I network, which regularly engages with the City Surveyor and the Senior Management Team. This is seeking to make the department a more attractive destination for under-represented groups and seek to retain and progress staff from all backgrounds. There is corporate HR representation on this departmentally led Group	June meeting included updates from the Corporate Equalities team and the WIN and Carers and Parents staff networks A neurodiversity all staff talk has been scheduled in September which will cover the full end-to-end process of responsible procurement, the contractor's commitment to delivery, and the social value impact.	Ola Obadara	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 009f	Pay and Review Survey	The department has highlighted that there are specific pressures within this department in relation to the withdrawal of the earlier reward scheme (where those on top-of-grading salaries could achieve performance	Paul Wilkinson	12-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025

reward	related pay) prior to the review conclusions which has made our renumeration package less competitive than our peers. The department is engaging with Korn Ferry who are currently conducting the Ambition 25 review of pay and reward. Local feedback suggests that whilst recruitment is possible, the limited scope for (pay and professional) progression makes retention is more challenging and existing staff are concerned about their		
	current pay and of potential negative impact from Ambition 25.		

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	date of update Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 011 Contractor Failure  13-Feb-2023 Ola Obadara	Cause: Market conditions Event: Failure of either a main contractor, or a substantial sub-contractor Impact: Delayed delivery of projects, or the delivery of projects at a higher cost	Impact	16	This risk relates to the failure of a main contractor, or a main sub-contractor. In particular, the City Corporation has not historically had a significant influence over who is commissioned to undertake work.  Should a main contractor, or sub-contractor, fail, there are knock on implications for warranties, or our capacity to seek redress for any design faults.  Recent industry uncertainty has raised the risk likelihood, and this risk remains at Red.  13 Jun 2024		4	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 011a		greater account of contractor and sub-contractor failure into consideration. We may need to have a greater say in who a main contractor identifies as an appropriate sub-Contractor. Further actions to	Ola Obadara		31-Mar- 2025
		The department is instituting six-monthly reviews of contractor suitability. Historically this only happened at contract commencement. This will better prepare the organisation if the contractor (or significant sub-contractor) begins to experience difficulty.	Ola Obadara		31-Mar- 2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 002 Insufficient budget to meet user and asset demand at Guildhall  10-Feb-2015 Peter Young	Cause: Insufficient funding available for Major Works, Cyclical Works and Reactive Maintenance to manage the repair demands on the Guildhall Complex.  Event: Insufficient asset funding.  Impact: The standard of the Guildhall Complex will deteriorate, resulting in; poorer working environments leading to increased dissatisfaction and lower employee productivity and potential increase in breakdowns and reactive costs as the basic infrastructure of the Complex becomes beyond economic repair.	Impact 12	The principal mitigation actions are related to forecasting and monitoring the allocation of financial and human resources.  The Guildhall Renewal programme developed a range of options that were considered by Members in January. However, the organisation's wider financial context means that the larger renewal programmes are unlikely in the short- term.  The Cyclical Works Programme has recently been approved, and this includes significant funding for works at the Guildhall which will maintain the building over the medium-term.  Corporate Services Committee recently approved an updated policy relating to the minimum number of days that officers should be in the workplace. This increased occupation will result in greater 'wear and tear' on the building and its infrastructure.  The target date on this risk will be confirmed once the programme of works is defined.  12 Jun 2024	Impact 4	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 002e			Paul Wilkinson; Peter Young	2024	31-Mar- 2025

	Remembrancer's Events Team.  This activity is seeking to create a single	budget was agreed. The business plans of both the Remembrance and the City Surveyor have highlighted the delivery of this activity as a priority for the coming year.	Remembran cer; John James; Peter Young	2024	31-Jan- 2025
	Guildhall, such that there is greater transparency over income and repair and maintenance outgoings.				

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk R	ating &	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
Insurance - Investment and Corporate Estates	Cause: Revaluation of the City Corporation's estates (Investment and Corporate) does not happen in a timescale compliant with insurance policy requirements or the terms of leases.  Event: The City fails to meet the provision under its insurance policies that revaluations are undertaken by a RICS surveyor at least every five years (Investment and Corporate). The City is in breach of its legal obligations as a landlord under the terms of its leases to ensure that the full re- instatement value is insured Impact: The insurance policy does not respond in full (Investment and Corporate). Potential legal action from commercial occupiers in the event of an incident for which there is not appropriate cover.	Impact	This risk identifies the need of re-valuation of the City of London Estates – (Investment and Corporate) to ensure that the City reaches its legal obligations under its insurance policies.  The last on-site valuations of the Investment Property Group estate and corporate buildings (other than special sites) was undertaken in 2015.  Funding has recently been identified and a budget is now in place. Tender documents have been drafted.  Once contractors are on-site and progressing with completing this activity the risk rating should start to reduce.  13 Jun 2024	Impact		1	31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description	Latest Note	Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 010a	Register of data  Ensuring the completeness of the organisation's data sets to ensure that assets are not 'lost' and accuracy of our risk scoring.	A property schedule exists, and this has been updated with the survey carried out on Special Sites (by RLF).	Robert Murphy	13-Jun- 2024	31-Jan- 2025
SUR SMT 010b		Where leases allow, the cost can be recovered from commercial tenants, and operational occupiers as appropriate. Funding has been agreed and a budget line identified where relevant within the City Corporation.	John James; Robert Murphy	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 010c	,	The delivery of this activity will be done by an external party. Investment Property Group are currently reviewing properties and gathering information. The tender has been developed and is being finalised and once approved and awarded, contractors will be engaged to progress with the activity.	Robert Murphy	13-Jun- 2024	31-Mar- 2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 012 Adjudication & Disputes	Cause: The impact of COVID-19 on project delivery.  Event: Regulations restricted access to sites and resulted in more design work being undertaken remotely. Productivity at sites was adversely impacted.  Impact: Increased likelihood that projects were designed correctly, and resulting legal and adjudication issues, reputational harm.	Impact	8	The department's risk register has a separate risk relating to 'construction price inflation'. The inflation risk is wider as it also captures cost escalations due to other causes (Ukraine, energy, etc). This risk only focusses on adjudication & disputes.  This risk may remain on the register until projects commenced prior to, or during, the COVID-19 pandemic, have been delivered and finalised. The risk scoring will be kept under review.	Impact	4	31-Mar- 2025	
31-Aug-2023 Ola Obadara				13 Jun 2024				Constant

Action no	Action description		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 012a	Claims consultant can advise the organisation where it can seek to	81 3		31-Mar- 2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 008 Special Structures  20-Oct-2021 Peter Young	Cause: Lack of central register for special structures and/or ambiguity over accountability, responsibility for budget provision  Event: Incomplete, or not up-to-date register of special structures and planned maintenance regime  Impact: Potential failure of special structure and/or forced closure of asset / space	Impact 6	Special structures relate to those structural elements with an asset which supports other (often public) elements, so captures basements, subroad spaces, supporting structures etc.  Previously there was no central structures register within the portfolio, and therefore no prescribed or routine inspection regime in place to ensure that they remain in a suitable condition which is being addressed through the mitigation of this risk, but the task is significant.  Where remedial works are identified inspections are flagged. These items may be subject to future bids for funds.  13 Jun 2024		31-Mar- 2025	Constant

Action no	Action description		Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 008b	against and up-to-date register, and assessing the structures in a risk-based approach, will help mitigate the risk swiftly  Special structures – investment portfolio	as part of the Cyclical Works Programme (CWP) 22/23 Bid List. The survey programme is now in progress.  The initial desktop survey has been completed, (February 2024) which identified a list of structures which required more detailed assessment which are currently in progress. The survey process is also developing survey programme for Special Structures (some assets will require more frequent assessment). This information will be captured on the Computer Aided Facilities Management (CAFM) software.  Register for the investment estate exists, however, requires a refreshed survey to ensure on-going accuracy of information. This is being addressed through the programme of works as identified in action SUR SMT 008a.	Peter Collinson  Peter Collinson; Robert Murphy	2024 13-Jun-	31-Mar- 2025 31-Mar- 2025
	understand and assess our risks.				
SUR SMT 008d	Special Structures – other assets		Peter Collinson;		31-Mar- 2025

	Ensuring that premises controllers, where this is not the City Surveyor, remain aware of their responsibilities. This helps manage the risk at these locations	Peter Young	
SUR SMT 008e	To undertake works where the inspection programme has identified	Peter Collinson	31-Mar- 2025

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date/Risk Approach	Current Risk score change indicator
UKPN - Condition and maintenance of substations	Cause: Either the failure of UK Power Networks (UKPN) to adequately maintain their facilities adequately (including equipment), or any failure on behalf of the City Corporation to structures where these are our responsibility, to a condition adequate to prevent issues arising.  Event: Potential for flood or fire of substation Impact: Potential flood or fire leading to impact on operational assets and interruption to service delivery and/or claim from UKPN for business interruption.	Impact	This risk was being tracked on Corporate Property Group Risk Register, but due to recent events (Central Criminal Court substation fire) it has been considered appropriate to raise this risk to the departmental level.  This risk covers investment and operational (non-Housing) assets.  08 Apr 2024	Impact	4	Reduce	Constant

Action no	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 015a	Working Group by the Corporate Health	City departments to identify whether there are any transformers on their assets and progress was reviewed in May. The next step will be to contact UKPN to obtain fires and asbestos risk assessments and liaise with the legal team to ensure that lease agreements are in place and to understand departmental responsibilities.	Oliver Sanandres; Peter Young/Kir pal Kaur	2024	31-Mar- 2025
SUR SMT 015b		The City Surveyor's Department is collating an inventory of all UKPN substations across our estates, and seeking to identify leases wherever these are available. Because of age of the structures, many assets may not have current legal documentation.	Peter Young		31-Mar- 2025

	This background data will help codify the split of responsibility between the City Corporation and UKPN	The organisation's legal team, supported by the external legal experts Hogan Lovells, have been in contact with UKPN in connection with codifying roles and responsibilities.  The new working group is refreshing a single schedule of all plantrooms and substations which will enable the City Solicitor and Asset Advisors to identify where existing leases may have expired.  The organisation's legal team, supported by the external legal experts Hogan Lovells, have been in contact with UKPN in connection with codifying roles and responsibilities and arranging access for CoL as landlord.		
SUR SMT 015c	1 1	UKPN to ensure that the space is safe to enter and inspect. UKPN are not always responding to CoLC requests.  This action is being progressed by the relevant Premises Controllers in City Surveyor's alongside colleagues from the Comptroller and City Solicitor and the external legal expert Hogan Lovells.	08-Apr- 2024	31-Mar- 2025